

# FACTORIZATION IN COMMUTATIVE RINGS

HUA-CHIEH LI

In this note, our ring is always a commutative ring. In other words, suppose that  $R$  is a ring. Then there exist two binary operations  $+$  and  $\cdot$  such that:

- (1)  $(R, +)$  is an abelian group;
- (2)  $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$  for all  $a, b, c \in R$ ;
- (3)  $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$  for all  $a, b, c \in R$ ;
- (4)  $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$  for all  $a, b \in R$ .

Moreover, we say  $R$  is an *integral domain* if  $R$  satisfies the following extra conditions:

- there exists  $1 \in R$  such that  $1 \cdot a = a \cdot 1 = a$  for all  $a \in R$ ;
- if  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$  in  $R$ , then  $a \cdot b \neq 0$ .

## 1. EUCLIDEAN DOMAIN

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of nonnegative integers and  $R$  a ring. We say that  $R$  is a *Euclidean Ring* if there is a function  $\phi : R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that: if  $a, b \in R$  and  $b \neq 0$ , then there exist  $q, r \in R$  such that  $a = bq + r$  with either  $r = 0$  or  $\phi(r) < \phi(b)$ .

A Euclidean ring which is an integral domain is called a *Euclidean domain*.

**Example 1.1.** The Ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers with  $\phi(n) = |n|$  is a Euclidean domain.

*Proof.* For  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , denote  $[x]$  the greatest integer less than or equal to  $x$ . Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we claim that there exist  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = bq + r$  with  $r = 0$  or  $|r| < |b|$ .

We first consider the case that  $b > 0$ . Let  $q = [a/b]$  and  $r = a - b[a/b]$ . Then  $a = bq + r$ . It remains to show that  $0 \leq r < b$ . We have that

$$\frac{a}{b} - 1 < \left[ \frac{a}{b} \right] \leq \frac{a}{b}.$$

Multiplying all terms of this inequality by  $-b$ , we obtain

$$b - a > -b \left[ \frac{a}{b} \right] \geq -a$$

and hence

$$0 \leq a - b \left[ \frac{a}{b} \right] < b,$$

which is precisely  $0 \leq r < b$  as desired.

For the case  $b < 0$ , use the similar argument above for  $a$  and  $-b$ . We find that there exist  $q$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = (-b)q + r$  with  $r = 0$  or  $r < |b| = -b$ ; so  $-q$  and  $r$  have the desired properties.  $\square$

**Example 1.2.** If  $F$  is a field, then the ring of polynomials in one variable  $F[x]$  is a Euclidean domain with  $\phi(f) = \deg(f)$ .

*Proof.* Given  $f, g \in F[x]$  with  $g \neq 0$ , if  $\deg(f) < \deg(g)$ , then let  $q = 0$  and  $r = f$ . If  $\deg(f) \geq \deg(g)$ , then we proceed by induction on  $\deg(f)$ .

If  $\deg(f) = 0$ , then  $\deg(g) = 0$ . Thus  $f$  and  $g$  are in  $F$ . Let  $q = f \cdot g^{-1}$  and  $r = 0$ . We have  $f = gq + r$  with  $r = 0$  as desired.

Assume now that the property for Euclidean domain is true for polynomials of degree less than  $n = \deg(f)$ . Suppose

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i, \quad g = \sum_{i=0}^m b_i x^i, \quad \text{with } a_n \neq 0, b_m \neq 0.$$

Let  $f_1 = f - (a_n b_m^{-1} x^{m-n})g$ . It is clear that  $\deg(f_1) \leq n - 1$ . By the induction hypothesis there are polynomials  $q_1$  and  $r_1$  such that  $f_1 = gq_1 + r_1$  with  $r_1 = 0$  or  $\deg(r_1) < \deg(g)$ . Therefore, let  $q = a_n b_m^{-1} x^{n-m} + q_1$  and  $r = r_1$ . Then

$$f = f_1 + (a_n b_m^{-1} x^{m-n})g = g(q_1 + a_n b_m^{-1} x^{m-n}) + r_1 = gq + r$$

with  $r = 0$  or  $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$  as desired.  $\square$

Recall that the set of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  consists of elements of the form  $x + yi$ , with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $i$  satisfies  $i^2 = -1$ . For  $\alpha = x + yi \in \mathbb{C}$ , we define the norm of  $\alpha$  by  $N(\alpha) = x^2 + y^2$ . Given  $\alpha = x + yi$  and  $\beta = u + vi$ , we have that  $\alpha\beta = (xu - yv) + (xv + yu)i$  and

$$N(\alpha\beta) = (xu - yv)^2 + (xv + yu)^2 = (x^2 + y^2)(u^2 + v^2) = N(\alpha)N(\beta).$$

**Example 1.3.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  be a subset of complex numbers.  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is an integral domain called the domain of *Gaussian integers*. Moreover,  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a Euclidean domain with  $\phi(a + bi) = N(a + bi) = a^2 + b^2$ .

*Proof.*  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is clearly closed under addition and subtraction. Moreover, if  $a + bi, c + di \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ , then

$$(a + bi)(c + di) = (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i \in \mathbb{Z}[i].$$

Thus  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is closed under multiplication and is a ring. Since  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is contained in the complex numbers it is an integral domain.

It is clear that the norm defines a map from  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\alpha = a + bi, \beta = c + di \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  and suppose that  $\beta \neq 0$ . Consider

$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{a + bi}{c + di} = \frac{ac + bd}{c^2 + d^2} + \frac{bc - ad}{c^2 + d^2}i = s + ti.$$

Choose integers  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|s - m| \leq 1/2$  and  $|t - n| \leq 1/2$ . Set  $\delta = m + ni$  and  $\gamma = \alpha - \beta\delta$ . Then  $\delta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$  and either  $\gamma = 0$  or

$$\phi(\gamma) = \phi(\beta(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} - \delta)) = \phi(\beta)\phi(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} - \delta) = \phi(\beta)((s - m)^2 + (t - n)^2) \leq \frac{1}{2}\phi(\beta) < \phi(\beta).$$

$\square$

**Exercise 1.** Let  $\omega = (-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega] = \{a + b\omega \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . Show that  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  is a Euclidean domain.

**Example 1.4.** Let  $\theta = (1 + \sqrt{-19})/2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta] = \{a + b\theta \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  is an integral domain but is not a Euclidean domain.

*Proof.*  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  is clearly closed under addition and subtraction. Moreover,  $\theta^2 = \theta - 5$ . Hence, if  $a + b\theta, c + d\theta \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ , then

$$(a + b\theta)(c + d\theta) = ac + (ad + bc)\theta + bd\theta^2 = (ac - 5bd) + (ad + bc + bd)\theta \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta].$$

Thus  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  is closed under multiplication and is a ring. Since  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  is contained in the complex numbers it is an integral domain.

Suppose that  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  is a Euclidean domain with  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[\theta] \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  satisfies the Euclidean domain property. Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  be an element such that

$$\phi(\alpha) = \min\{\phi(\lambda) \mid \lambda \neq 0, 1, -1, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]\}.$$

By the Euclidean domain property, there exist  $\delta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  such that  $2 = \alpha\delta + \gamma$  with  $\gamma = 0$  or  $\phi(\gamma) < \phi(\alpha)$ . However, by the definition of  $\alpha$ , this implies that  $\gamma = 0, 1$  or  $-1$ . In other words,  $\alpha\delta = 1, 2$  or  $3$ .

Recall that if  $\beta = a + b\theta \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$ , then  $N(\beta) = a^2 + ab + 5b^2 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, suppose  $\beta \neq 0, 1$  or  $-1$ . If  $a = 0$  then  $N(\beta) = 5b^2 \geq 5$  and if  $b = 0$  then  $N(\beta) = a^2 \geq 4$ . If  $ab > 0$ , then

$$N(\beta) = a^2 + ab + 5b^2 = (a - b)^2 + 4b^2 + 3ab \geq 4b^2 + 3ab \geq 7$$

and if  $ab < 0$ , then

$$N(\beta) = a^2 + ab + 5b^2 = (a + b)^2 + 4b^2 - ab \geq 4b^2 - ab \geq 5.$$

In conclusion, if  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta] \setminus \{0, 1, -1\}$  then  $N(\beta) \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $N(\beta) \geq 4$ .

Since  $N(\alpha\delta) = 1, 4$  or  $9$ , and  $N(\alpha\delta) = N(\alpha)N(\delta)$ , we have that  $N(\alpha) \mid 1, N(\alpha) \mid 4$  or  $N(\alpha) \mid 9$ . The discussion above shows that  $N(\alpha) \neq 1, 2, 3$ . Hence we have that  $N(\alpha) = 4$  or  $N(\alpha) = 9$ .

The Euclidean domain property shows that there exist  $\delta'$  and  $\gamma' \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  such that  $\theta = \alpha\delta' + \gamma'$  with either  $\gamma' = 0$  or  $\phi(\gamma') < \phi(\alpha)$ . Again, the definition of  $\alpha$  implies that  $\alpha\delta' = \theta, \theta - 1$  or  $\theta + 1$ . Taking norms, we have  $N(\alpha) \mid N(\theta), N(\alpha) \mid N(\theta - 1)$  or  $N(\alpha) \mid N(\theta + 1)$ . However,  $N(\theta) = 5, N(\theta - 1) = 5$  and  $N(\theta + 1) = 7$ . Neither one of them can be divided by 4 or 9. We get a contradiction. Hence  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  is not a Euclidean domain.  $\square$

**Definition 1.5.** A nonzero element  $a$  of a ring  $R$  is said to *divide* an element  $b \in R$  (notation:  $a \mid b$ ) if there exists  $x \in R$  such that  $b = ax$ . Elements  $a, b$  of  $R$  are said to be *associates* (notation:  $a \approx b$ ) if  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid a$ .

Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $R$ . An element  $d \in R$  is a *greatest common divisor* of  $S$  provided:

- (1)  $d \mid a$  for all  $a \in S$ ;
- (2) if  $c \mid a$  for all  $a \in S$ , then  $c \mid d$ .

In general, greatest common divisors do not always exist. For example, in the ring  $2\mathbb{Z}$  of even integers, 2 has no divisor at all, whence 2, 4 has no greatest common divisor. Even when a greatest common divisor exists, it need not be unique. However, any two greatest common divisors of  $S$  are clearly associates by property (2). Furthermore any associate of a greatest common divisor of  $S$  is easily seen to be a greatest common divisor of  $S$ .

In the following we provide some basic properties of greatest common divisor.

**Lemma 1.6.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $a, b, c \in R$ . Suppose that  $d$  is a greatest common divisor of  $a, b$ .*

- (1) *Suppose that  $c = aq + b$  for some  $q \in R$ . Then  $d$  is a greatest common divisor of  $a, c$ .*

(2) Suppose that  $d'$  is a greatest common divisor of  $d, c$ . Then  $d'$  is a greatest common divisor of  $a, b, c$ .

*Proof.* (proof of (1)) We first show that  $d$  divides  $a$  and  $c$ . We know  $d$  divides  $a$  by definition. Since  $d|a$  and  $d|b$ , we have  $a = dx$  and  $b = dy$  for some  $x, y \in R$ . Hence  $c = dxq + dy = d(xq + y)$ . This shows that  $d|c$ .

Suppose  $e \in R$  such that  $e|a$  and  $e|c$ . Then there exist  $u, v \in R$  such that  $a = eu$  and  $c = ev$ . Hence  $b = c - aq = e(v - uq)$ . This shows that  $e|b$ . Since  $e$  divides  $a$  and  $b$ , by the definition of greatest common divisors, we have  $e|d$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.** Prove (2) of Lemma 1.6.

**Example 1.7** (The Euclidean Algorithm). Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By Example 1.1, there exist  $q_1, r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$a = bq_1 + r_1, \quad 0 \leq r_1 < |b|.$$

If  $r_1 > 0$ , there exist  $q_2, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$b = r_1q_2 + r_2, \quad 0 \leq r_2 < r_1.$$

If  $r_2 > 0$ , there exist  $q_3, r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$r_1 = r_2q_3 + r_3, \quad 0 \leq r_3 < r_2.$$

Continue this process. Then  $r_n = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $n > 1$  then  $r_{n-1}$  is a greatest common divisor of  $a, b$ . If  $n = 1$ , then  $b$  is a greatest common divisor of  $a, b$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $r_1 > r_2 > \dots$ . If  $r_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots$  is an infinite, strictly decreasing sequence of positive integers, which is impossible. So  $r_n = 0$  for some  $n$ .

If  $r_1 = 0$ , then  $a = bq_1$ . So  $b|a$  and of course  $b|b$ . If  $c$  divides both  $a$  and  $b$ , then of course  $c|b$ . Hence  $b$  is a greatest common divisor of  $a, b$ .

Now suppose  $r_n = 0$  for  $n > 1$ . Then  $r_{n-2} = r_{n-1}q_n$  (we set  $r_0 = b$ ). By the argument above, we have that  $r_{n-1}$  is a greatest common divisor of  $r_{n-2}, r_{n-1}$ . However,  $r_{n-3} = r_{n-2}q_{n-1} + r_{n-1}$  (we set  $r_{-1} = a$ ). By Lemma 1.6 (1), we have  $r_{n-1}$  is a greatest common divisor of  $r_{n-2}, r_{n-3}$ . Continue this argument inductively. We have that  $r_{n-1}$  is a greatest common divisor of  $a, b$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 3.** Suppose  $R$  is a Euclidean domain and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ . Show that there exists a greatest common divisor of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .

## 2. PRINCIPLE IDEAL DOMAIN

Given a ring  $R$ , a subring  $I$  of  $R$  is an *ideal* provided  $rx \in I$  for  $r \in R, x \in I$ . A *principal ideal ring* is a ring in which every ideal is principle. In other words, for every ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , there exists  $x \in I$  such that if  $\lambda \in I, \lambda = rx$  for some  $r \in R$ . A principle ideal ring which is an integral domain is called a *principle ideal domain*

**Example 2.1.**  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a principle ideal domain.

*Proof.* Given a nonzero ideal  $I$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Consider  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$|n| = \min \{|x| : x \in I \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Given  $a \in I$ , by Example 1.1, there exist  $h, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = nh + r$  with either  $r = 0$  or  $|r| < |n|$ . Since  $r = a - nh \in I$ , by the definition of  $n$ , we conclude that  $r = 0$  and hence  $a = nh$ . In other words,  $I = (n)$ .  $\square$

Using similar argument we can show the following:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Every Euclidean ring is a principle ideal ring.*

**Exercise 4.** *Prove Theorem 2.2.*

From Theorem 2.2, the polynomial ring  $F[x]$  in Example 1.2 and the Gaussian integers  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  in Example 1.3 are principle ideal domains.

In general, to prove a ring is a principle ideal ring is not easy. We can imitate the proof of Theorem 2.2 to show certain rings are principle ideal rings.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Suppose that there is a function  $\phi : R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that given  $\alpha, \beta \in R, \beta \neq 0$ , if  $\beta$  does not divide  $\alpha$  then there exist  $\gamma, \delta \in R$  such that  $\alpha\gamma - \beta\delta \neq 0$  and*

$$\phi(\alpha\gamma - \beta\delta) < \phi(\beta).$$

*Then  $R$  is a principle ideal ring.*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a nonzero ideal of  $R$ . Let  $\beta \in I$  be an element with the property that

$$\phi(\beta) = \min \{\phi(x) : x \in I \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

We claim that  $I = (\beta)$ . Given  $\alpha \in I$ , suppose that  $\beta$  does not divide  $\alpha$ . By the hypothesis, there exist  $\delta, \gamma \in R$  such that  $\alpha\gamma - \beta\delta \neq 0$  and  $\phi(\alpha\gamma - \beta\delta) < \phi(\beta)$ . Since  $\alpha\gamma - \beta\delta \in I$  and  $\alpha\gamma - \beta\delta \neq 0$ , this contradicts the assumption of  $\beta$ . Therefore  $\beta$  divides every element of  $I$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.4.** Let  $\theta = (1 + \sqrt{-19})/2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta] = \{a + b\theta \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  is a principle ideal domain.

*Proof.* Let  $\phi(\alpha) = N(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta] \setminus \{0\}$ . We will show that  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  satisfies the condition in Theorem 2.3.

Given  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  with  $\beta \neq 0$ , if  $\beta$  does not divide  $\alpha$  then a case by case consideration will lead to elements  $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  such that

$$0 < N\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\gamma - \delta\right) < 1,$$

whence  $\alpha\gamma - \beta\delta \neq 0$  and  $N(\alpha\gamma - \beta\delta) < N(\beta)$ .

Write

$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = s + t\theta, \quad \text{with } s, t \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

- (1)  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ : In this case,  $s \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|s - n| \leq 1/2$  and take  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $\delta = n + t\theta$ . Now,

$$0 < N\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\gamma - \delta\right) = N(s - n) \leq \frac{1}{4} < 1.$$

- (2)  $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

- (a)  $5t \in \mathbb{Z}$ : Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|t - m| \leq 1/2$ . In fact, because  $5t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $|t - m| \leq 2/5$ . Take  $\gamma = 1$  and  $\delta = s + m\theta$ . Now

$$0 < N\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\gamma - \delta\right) = N((t - m)\theta) \leq \frac{4}{25} \times 5 < 1.$$

- (b)  $5t \notin \mathbb{Z}$ : Consider

$$(s + t\theta)(1 - \theta) = s - s\theta + t\theta - t\theta^2 = s - s\theta + t\theta - t\theta + 5t = s + 5t - s\theta.$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|s + 5t - n| \leq 1/2$  and take  $\gamma = 1 - \theta$ ,  $\delta = n - s\theta$ . Now,

$$0 < N\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\gamma - \delta\right) = N(s + 5t - n) \leq \frac{1}{4} < 1.$$

- (3)  $s, t \notin \mathbb{Z}$ :

- (a)  $2s, 2t \in \mathbb{Z}$ : Consider

$$(s + t\theta)\theta = s\theta + t\theta - 5t = -5t + (s + t)\theta.$$

Since  $s + t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , letting  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|-5t - n| \leq 1/2$ , we can take  $\gamma = \theta$  and  $\delta = n + (s + t)\theta$ . Now,

$$0 < N\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\gamma - \delta\right) = N(-5t - n) \leq \frac{1}{4} < 1.$$

- (b)  $2s \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and  $2t \in \mathbb{Z}$ : Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|2s - n| \leq 1/2$ . Take  $\gamma = 2$  and  $\delta = n + 2t\theta$ . Now,

$$0 < N\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\gamma - \delta\right) = N(2s - n) \leq \frac{1}{4} < 1.$$

- (c)  $2s \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $2t \notin \mathbb{Z}$ : When  $10t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|2t - m| \leq 1/2$ . In fact, because  $5 \times 2t \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $|2t - m| \leq 2/5$ . Take  $\gamma = 2$  and  $\delta = 2s + m\theta$ . Now

$$0 < N\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\gamma - \delta\right) = N((2t - m)\theta) \leq \frac{4}{25} \times 5 < 1.$$

If  $10t \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , then consider

$$(s + t\theta)(2 - 2\theta) = 2s - 2s\theta + 2t\theta - 2t\theta^2 = 2s + 10t - 2s\theta.$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|2s + 10t - n| \leq 1/2$  (note that  $10t \notin \mathbb{Z}$ ) and take  $\gamma = 2 - 2\theta$ ,  $\delta = n - 2s\theta$ . Now,

$$0 < N\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\gamma - \delta\right) = N(2s + 10t - n) \leq \frac{1}{4} < 1.$$

(d)  $2s \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and  $2t \notin \mathbb{Z}$ : Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|t - m| \leq 1/2$ . If  $|t - m| \leq 1/3$ , letting  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|s - n| \leq 1/2$ , then we can take  $\gamma = 1$  and  $\delta = n + m\theta$ . Now,

$$0 < N\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\gamma - \delta\right) = N((s - n) + (t - m)\theta) \leq \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{9} \times 5 = \frac{35}{36} < 1.$$

If  $1/3 < |t - m| < 1/2$ , then  $2/3 < |2t - 2m| < 1$ . Let  $m' \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|2t - m'| \leq 1/2$ . Then we have  $|2t - m'| < 1/3$ . Let  $n' \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $|2s - n'| \leq 1/2$ . Take  $\gamma = 2$  and  $\delta = n' + m'\theta$ . Now,

$$0 < N\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\gamma - \delta\right) = N((2s - n') + (2t - m')\theta) < \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{9} \times 5 = \frac{35}{36} < 1.$$

□

*Remark 2.5.* The converse of Theorem 2.2 is false since  $\mathbb{Z}[\theta]$  is a principle ideal domain that is not a Euclidean domain (Example 1.4).

**Example 2.6.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  be the ring of polynomials over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is an integral domain but is not a principle ideal domain.

*Proof.* Considering the leading coefficients of  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$ , we can easily conclude that if  $f(x) \neq 0$  and  $g(x) \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , then  $f(x)g(x) \neq 0$ .

To show that  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is not a principle ideal domain, we consider the ideal  $I$  generated by 2 and  $x$  (i.e.  $I = (2, x)$ ). We first claim that  $I \neq \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Otherwise there exist  $u(x), v(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $1 = 2u(x) + xv(x)$ . Substitute  $x = 0$  into the identity. We have that  $1 = 2u(0)$  which is absurd because  $u(0) \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now, suppose that there exists  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $(f(x)) = I$ . In other words, there exist  $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $2 = g(x)f(x)$  and  $x = h(x)f(x)$ . From  $2 = g(x)f(x)$ , we conclude that  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Because  $I \neq \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $f(x)$  can not be a unit, whence  $f(x) = \pm 2$ . On the other hand, by  $x = h(x)f(x)$ , we have  $h(x) = ax + b$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\pm 2a \neq 1$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we get a contradiction. □

**Exercise 5.** Suppose that  $R$  is an integral domain. Suppose further that there exists  $a \in R$  such that  $a \neq 0$  and  $a$  is not a unit in  $R$ . Prove that  $R[x]$  the polynomial ring over  $R$  is an integral domain but is not a Euclidean domain.

Finally we provide some basic properties of principle ideal rings.

**Proposition 2.7.** Every principle ideal ring is a ring with identity.

*Proof.* Since  $R$  itself is an ideal of  $R$ ,  $R = (a)$  for some  $a \in R$ . Consequently,  $a \in R$ , so  $a = ea = ae$  for some  $e \in R$ . If  $b \in R$ , then  $b = xa$  for some  $x \in R$ . Therefore,  $be = (xa)e = x(ae) = xa = b$ , whence  $e$  is the identity of  $R$ . □

**Exercise 6.** Prove that every Euclidean ring is a ring with identity without using the fact that every Euclidean ring is a principle ideal ring.

**Proposition 2.8.** If  $R$  is a principle ideal ring, given  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ , then a greatest common divisor of  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  exists.

*Proof.* Consider  $I = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  the ideal generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . Since  $R$  is a principle ideal ring, there exists  $d \in R$  such that  $I = (d)$ . We claim that  $d$  is a greatest common divisor of  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ .

First, since  $a_i \in I = (d)$ , there exist  $r_i \in R$  such that  $a_i = r_i d$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence  $d \mid a_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Second, since  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (d)$ , there exist  $\lambda_i \in R$  such that  $d = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i a_i$ . Suppose that  $c \mid a_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . There exist  $\gamma_i \in R$  such that  $a_i = \gamma_i c$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . This implies that  $d = \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i \gamma_i) c$ , whence  $c \mid d$ .  $\square$

Recall that a ring is *Noetherian* if it satisfies the ascending chain condition on ideals. It can be proved that  $R$  is Noetherian if and only if every ideal of  $R$  is finitely generated. We do not need this fact here. However, we can show that a principle ideal ring is Noetherian.

**Lemma 2.9.** *If  $R$  is a principle ideal ring and*

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n \subseteq \dots$$

*is a chain of ideals in  $R$ , then for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $I_j = I_n$  for all  $j \geq n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I = \cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} I_i$ . We claim that  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ . If  $b, c \in I$ , then we have  $b \in I_i$  and  $c \in I_j$  for some  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $i \geq j$ . Consequently  $I_j \subseteq I_i$ , and hence  $b, c \in I_i$ . Therefore,  $b - c \in I_i \subseteq I$ . Similarly, if  $r \in R$  and  $b \in I$ , then  $b \in I_i$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , whence  $rb \in I_i \subseteq I$ . Therefore,  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ . By hypothesis  $I$  is principle, say  $I = (a)$ . Since  $a \in I$ , we have  $a \in I_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $(a) \subseteq I_n$ . Therefore, for every  $j \geq n$ ,

$$(a) \subseteq I_n \subseteq I_j \subseteq (a),$$

whence  $I_j = I_n$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 7.** *Suppose that  $R$  is a principle ideal ring. Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots$  be (infinitely many) elements in  $R$ . Prove that there exists a greatest common divisor of  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots\}$ .*



3. UNIQUE FACTORIZATION DOMAIN

**3.1. General Properties.** The *Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic* says that any positive integer  $n > 1$  can be written uniquely in the form  $n = p_1^{t_1} \cdots p_r^{t_r}$ , where  $p_1 < \cdots < p_r$  are primes and  $t_i > 0$  for all  $i$ . In this section we study those integral domains in which an analogue of the fundamental theorem of arithmetic holds.

In  $\mathbb{Z}$ , a prime number  $p$  has the following properties:

- (1) If  $p = ab$  then  $a$  or  $b$  is a unit.
- (2) If  $p \mid ab$  then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .

For arbitrary ring, these are two different properties.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring with identity. An element  $\pi \in R$  is *irreducible* provided that  $\pi$  is not a unit and if  $\pi = ab$  for some  $a, b \in R$  then  $a$  or  $b$  is a unit.

An element  $p \in R$  is *prime* provided that  $p$  is not a unit and if  $p \mid ab$  then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .

**Example 3.2.** In the ring  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}, \bar{5}\}$ ,  $\bar{2}$  is prime but it is not irreducible.

*Proof.*  $\bar{2}$  does not divide  $\bar{1} \cdot \bar{1} = \bar{5} \cdot \bar{5} = \bar{1}$ ,  $\bar{1} \cdot \bar{3} = \bar{3} \cdot \bar{3} = \bar{3} \cdot \bar{5} = \bar{3}$ , and  $\bar{1} \cdot \bar{5} = \bar{5}$ . Hence  $\bar{2}$  is prime. On the other hand,  $\bar{2}$  is not irreducible because  $\bar{2} = \bar{2} \cdot \bar{4}$  and neither  $\bar{2}$  nor  $\bar{4}$  are units in  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.3.** In the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{10}] = \{a + b\sqrt{10} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ ,  $2$  is irreducible but it is not prime.

*Proof.* Recall that the map  $\mathcal{N} : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{10}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  given by  $\mathcal{N}(a + b\sqrt{10}) = a^2 - 10b^2$  has the properties that  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha\beta) = \mathcal{N}(\alpha)\mathcal{N}(\beta)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{10}]$  and  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha) = \pm 1$  if and only if  $\alpha$  is a unit.

Suppose that there exist  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{10}]$  which are not units such that  $2 = \alpha\beta$ . Then we have  $4 = \mathcal{N}(2) = \mathcal{N}(\alpha)\mathcal{N}(\beta)$ . Since  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{10}$  is not a unit, we have  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha) = a^2 - 10b^2 = \pm 2$ . This shows that  $a^2 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ . However, neither  $2$  nor  $-2$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $5$ . We get a contradiction. Hence  $2$  is irreducible.

On the other hand, since  $2 \cdot 3 = 6 = (4 + \sqrt{10})(4 - \sqrt{10})$ , we have that  $2 \mid (4 + \sqrt{10})(4 - \sqrt{10})$ . Suppose that  $2 \mid (4 + \sqrt{10})$  or  $2 \mid (4 - \sqrt{10})$ . By taking  $\mathcal{N}$ , we have that  $4 \mid 6$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , which is absurd. Hence  $2$  is not prime in  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{10}]$ .  $\square$

From examples above, we know that in general prime elements and irreducible elements are distinct. However in some cases, they are related.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain. Then every prime element of  $R$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $p$  is prime. If  $p = ab$ , then either  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ ; say  $p \mid a$ . Thus there exist  $x \in R$  such that  $a = px$ . Therefore,  $p = ab = pxb$ , and hence  $p(1 - xb) = 0$ . Since  $R$  is an integral domain, this implies that  $1 = xb$ . Therefore,  $b$  is a unit. Hence  $p$  is irreducible.  $\square$

We include an important property for irreducible elements of an integral domain which is familiar for the integer ring  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain. The only divisors of an irreducible element of  $R$  are its associates and the units of  $R$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\pi$  is irreducible and  $d \mid \pi$ , then because  $\pi = dx$  for some  $x \in R$ , this implies that either  $d$  or  $x$  is a unit. The second case implies that  $d$  and  $\pi$  are associates.  $\square$

**Exercise 8.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain. Suppose that  $a, b \in R$  are associates.

- (1) Prove that there exists a unit  $u \in R$  such that  $a = ub$ .
- (2) Prove that  $a$  is irreducible if and only if  $b$  is irreducible.
- (3) Prove that  $a$  is prime if and only if  $b$  is prime.

**Definition 3.6.** An integral domain  $R$  is a *unique factorization domain* provided that:

- (1) Every nonzero element  $a \in R$  which is not a unit can be written as  $a = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n$  with  $\alpha_i$  irreducible.
- (2) If  $a = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n = \beta_1 \cdots \beta_m$  with  $\alpha_i, \beta_j$  irreducible, then  $n = m$  and for some permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_{\sigma(i)}$  are associates for every  $i$ .

*Remark 3.7.* From the definition, every irreducible element in a unique factorization domain is necessary prime. Consequently, prime elements and irreducible elements coincide in a unique factorization domain by Lemma 3.4.

**Example 3.8.** The polynomial ring  $F[x]$  over a field  $F$  is a unique factorization domain.

*Proof.* Because every nonzero constant is a unit, we show first that every nonconstant polynomial can be written as a product of finitely many irreducible polynomial. It is to see that polynomials of degree 1 are irreducible. assume that we have proved the result for all polynomials of degree less than  $n$  and that  $\deg(f) = n$ . If  $f$  is irreducible, we are done. Otherwise  $f = gh$  where  $1 \leq \deg(g), \deg(h) < n$ . By the induction assumption both  $g$  and  $h$  can be written as products of finitely many irreducible polynomials. Thus so is  $f$ .

Next, we show that every irreducible polynomial is prime. Suppose that  $\pi$  is an irreducible polynomial and  $\pi | fg$ . Consider the ideal  $(f, \pi)$ . Since  $F[x]$  is a principle ideal domain (c.f. Theorem 2.2), we have  $(f, \pi) = (d)$  for some  $d \in F[x]$ .  $\pi \in (d)$  implies that  $d | \pi$ , and hence by Lemma 3.5,  $(f, \pi) = (1)$  or  $(\pi)$ . If  $(f, \pi) = (\pi)$ , then  $\pi | f$ . If  $(f, \pi) = (1)$ , then there exist  $l, h \in F[x]$  such that  $l\pi + hf = 1$ . Thus  $l\pi g + hfg = g$ . Since  $\pi$  divides the left-hand side of this equation,  $\pi$  must divide  $g$ .

Finally if  $f = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n = p_1 \cdots p_m$  with  $\pi_i, p_j$  irreducible, then since  $\pi_1$  is prime,  $\pi_1$  divides some  $p_j$ ; say  $p_1$ . On the other hand, since  $p_1$  is irreducible and  $\pi_1$  is not a unit, by Lemma 3.5  $\pi_1$  and  $p_1$  are associates; say  $u\pi_1 = p_1$  for some unit  $u$  of  $R$ . Hence  $\pi_2 \cdots \pi_n = (u\pi_2) \cdots p_m$ . By Exercise 8,  $u\pi_2$  is also irreducible, the proof of uniqueness is now completed by a routine inductive argument.  $\square$

**Exercise 9.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain.

- (1) Prove that  $p$  is a prime element in  $R$  if and only if  $(p)$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ .
- (2) Suppose that  $R$  is a principle ideal domain. Prove that  $\pi$  is irreducible in  $R$  if and only if  $(\pi)$  is a maximal ideal of  $R$ .
- (3) Suppose that  $R$  is a principle ideal domain. Prove that an element in  $R$  is prime if and only if it is irreducible.
- (4) Show that  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{10}]$  is not a principle ideal domain.

In general, to show a ring is a unique factorization domain we only have to show the following:

- (1) using the irreducibility to show that in the specific ring every nonzero element which is not a unit can be written as a product of finitely many irreducible elements;
- (2) show that in the specific ring every irreducible element is prime. Then the proof of uniqueness can be completed by a routine inductive argument as in the proof of Example 3.8.

**Theorem 3.9.** *Every principle ideal domain is a unique factorization domain.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R$  is a principle ideal domain. We claim first that if  $a \in R$ ,  $a \neq 0$  and  $a$  is not a unit, then  $a$  can be written as a product of finitely many irreducible elements. If  $a$  can not be written as a product of finitely many irreducible elements, then  $a$  is not irreducible and hence  $a = a_1 b_1$  for some  $a_1, b_1 \in R$  which are not units. By assumption, one of the  $a_1$  or  $b_1$  can not be written as a product of finitely many irreducible elements; say  $a_1$ . Then  $a_1 = a_2 b_2$  for some  $a_2, b_2 \in R$  which are not units and  $a_2$  can not be written as a product of finitely many irreducible elements. Continuing in this way, we construct infinitely many  $a_i$  with  $a_i = a_{i+1} b_{i+1}$  where all the  $a_i$  and  $b_i \in R$  are not units. Since  $a = a_1 b_1$  and  $b_1$  is not a unit, we have that  $(a) \subsetneq (a_1)$ . Similarly, we have  $(a_i) \subsetneq (a_{i+1})$ . In other words we have a nonstop ascending chain of ideals

$$(a) \subsetneq (a_1) \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq (a_i) \subsetneq \cdots ,$$

contradicting Lemma 2.9.

For the uniqueness, exercise 9 says that every irreducible element of  $R$  is prime. This completes the proof. □

**Exercise 10.** *Suppose that  $R$  is a unique factorization domain. Let  $S$  be a set of primes in  $R$  such that every prime in  $R$  is associate to a prime in  $S$  and no two primes in  $S$  are associate.*

(1) *If  $a \in R$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , show that we can uniquely write*

$$a = u \prod_{p \in S} p^{v_p(a)},$$

*where  $u$  is a unit and  $v_p(a)$  are nonnegative integers which are positive only for finitely many  $p \in S$ .*

(2) *Prove that  $v_p(ab) = v_p(a) + v_p(b)$  for all  $p \in S$  and  $a, b \in R$ .*

(3) *Given  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ , prove that there exists a greatest common divisor of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ .*

By Theorem 3.9, we know that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}]$  are unique factorization domains. The converse of Theorem 3.9 is not always true. For example, we know that  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is not a principle ideal domain (c.f. Example 2.6), but we will show later that  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a unique factorization domain.

**3.2. Factorization in Polynomial Rings.** In the rest of this section, we devote entirely to show that if  $R$  is a unique factorization domain, then  $R[x]$ , the polynomial ring over  $R$  is also a unique factorization domain.

Let  $F$  be the quotient field of  $R$ . In other words, every element of  $F$  can be written as  $a/b$  for some  $a, b \in R$  with  $b \neq 0$ . Our strategy is using the fact that  $F[x]$  is a unique factorization domain to show that  $R[x]$  is a unique factorization domain.

Let  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$  be a nonzero polynomial in  $R[x]$ . Since  $R$  is a unique factorization domain, by Exercise 10 (3), a greatest common divisor of the coefficients  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$  exists. We call it a *content* of  $f$  and denotes it by  $C(f)$ . Strictly speaking,  $C(f)$  is ambiguous since greatest common divisors are not unique. But any two contents of are necessarily associates. We shall write  $b \approx c$  whenever  $b$  and  $c$  are associates in  $R$ . If  $f \in R[x]$  and  $C(f)$  is a unit in  $R$ , then  $f$  is said to be *primitive*.

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $R$  be a unique factorization domain.  $a \in R$  and  $f, g \in R[x]$ .*

- (1)  $C(af) \approx aC(f)$ . In particular,  $f = C(f)f_1$  with  $f_1$  primitive in  $R[x]$ .
- (2) (Gauss)  $C(fg) \approx C(f)C(g)$ . In particular, the product of primitive polynomials in  $R[x]$  is also primitive.

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$  and  $d = C(f)$  which is a greatest common divisor of  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$ . Then  $af = \sum_{i=0}^n aa_i x^i$  and  $ad$  is a greatest common divisor of  $aa_0, aa_1, \dots, aa_n$ . On the other hand, let  $b_i = a_i/d \in R$ . The greatest common divisor of  $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n$  is a unit. Hence  $f = d \sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i = C(f)f_1$  with  $f_1 = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i$  primitive.

(2)  $f = C(f)f_1$  and  $g = C(g)g_1$  with  $f_1, g_1$  primitive, by (1). Consequently  $C(fg) \approx C(f)C(g)C(f_1g_1)$ . Hence it suffices to prove that if  $f$  and  $g$  are primitive then  $fg$  is primitive (i.e.  $C(fg)$  is a unit). If  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i$  and  $g = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j x^j$ , then  $fg = \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} c_k x^k$  with  $c_k = \sum_{i+j=k} a_i b_j$ . If  $C(fg)$  is not a unit, then since  $R$  is a unique factorization domain, there exists a prime element  $p \in R$  such that  $p \mid C(fg)$ . That is,  $p \mid c_k$  for all  $k$ . Since  $C(f)$  is a unit,  $p \nmid C(f)$ . Hence there is an integer  $s$  such that  $p \mid a_i$  for  $i < s$  and  $p \nmid a_s$ . Similarly there is an integer  $t$  such that  $p \mid b_j$  for  $j < t$  and  $p \nmid b_t$ . Consider

$$c_{s+t} = a_0 b_{s+t} + a_1 b_{s+t-1} + \dots + a_{s-1} b_{t+1} + a_s b_t + a_{s+1} b_{t-1} + \dots + a_{s+t} b_0.$$

$p$  divides every term on the right-hand side of the equation except the term  $a_s b_t$ . Hence  $p \nmid c_{s+t}$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore  $fg$  is primitive.  $\square$

Now for study the irreducible elements in  $R[x]$ , we first notice that if  $\alpha \in R$  is irreducible in  $R$ , then  $\alpha$  is also irreducible in  $R[x]$ . Indeed, if  $\alpha = f_1 f_2$  for  $f_1, f_2 \in R[x]$ , then comparing the degrees of both side we have  $f_1, f_2 \in R$ . Since  $\alpha$  is irreducible in  $R$ , either  $f_1$  or  $f_2$  is a unit in  $R$  and hence a unit in  $R[x]$ .

Next, we compare elements in  $R[x]$  and elements in  $F[x]$ . Suppose  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in F[x]$ . We can write  $a_i = \alpha_i \beta_i^{-1}$  for some  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in R$  and  $\beta_i \neq 0$ . Let  $\beta = \prod_{i=0}^n \beta_i$ . We have  $\beta a_i = \alpha_i \gamma_i$  for some  $\gamma_i \in R$  and hence  $\beta f = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \gamma_i x^i \in R[x]$ . In other word, every  $f \in F[x]$  can always be written as  $f = ab^{-1}f_1$  with  $a, b \in R$ ,  $b \neq 0$  and  $f_1$  primitive in  $R[x]$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $f$  be a primitive polynomial in  $R[x]$  and  $g \in R[x]$ . Then  $f$  divides  $g$  in  $R[x]$  if and only if  $f$  divides  $g$  in  $F[x]$ .*

*Proof.* If  $f \mid g$  in  $R[x]$ , then  $g = fh$  for some  $h \in R[x] \subseteq F[x]$ . Hence  $f \mid g$  in  $F[x]$ .

On the other hand, if  $f \mid g$  in  $F[x]$ , then  $g = fh$  for some  $h \in F[x]$ . Because  $h = ab^{-1}h_1$  with  $a, b \in R$ ,  $b \neq 0$  and  $h_1$  primitive in  $R[x]$ , we have that  $bg = afh_1$ . Taking contents on both side, by Lemma 3.10 we have

$$bC(g) \approx C(bg) \approx C(afh_1) \approx aC(f)C(h_1) \approx a,$$

because  $C(f)$  and  $C(h_1)$  are units in  $R$ . Hence  $ab^{-1} \in R$ . In other words,  $h = ab^{-1}h_1 \in R[x]$  and hence  $f \mid g$  in  $R[x]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $f$  be a primitive polynomial in  $R[x]$ . Then  $f$  is irreducible in  $R[x]$  if and only if  $f$  is irreducible in  $F[x]$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f$  is irreducible in  $F[x]$  and  $f = gh$  with  $g, h \in R[x]$ . Then one of  $g$  and  $h$  is a unit in  $F[x]$ ; say  $g$  and hence  $g$  is a constant. Thus  $C(f) \approx gC(h)$ . Since  $C(f)$  is a unit in  $R$ ,  $g$  must be a unit in  $R$  and hence in  $R[x]$ . Therefore,  $f$  is irreducible in  $R[x]$ .

Conversely, if  $f$  is irreducible in  $R[x]$  and  $f = gh$  with  $g, h \in F[x]$ . We can write  $g = ab^{-1}g_1$  with  $a, b \in R$ ,  $b \neq 0$  and  $g_1$  primitive in  $R[x]$  and  $h = cd^{-1}h_1$  with  $c, d \in R$ ,  $d \neq 0$  and  $h_1$  primitive in  $R[x]$ . Consequently,  $bd f = acg_1h_1$ . Since  $f$  and  $g_1h_1$  are primitive,

$$bd \approx bdC(f) \approx C(bdf) \approx C(acg_1h_1) \approx acC(g_1h_1) \approx ac.$$

Thus  $bd$  and  $ac$  are associates and this implies that  $acb^{-1}d^{-1} = \alpha \in R$  is a unit. Hence  $f = \alpha g_1h_1$  in  $R[x]$ . By hypothesis, one of  $g_1, h_1$  is a unit in  $R[x]$ ; say  $g_1$ . Hence  $g_1$  is a constant and so is  $g = ab^{-1}g_1$ . This implies that  $f$  is irreducible in  $F[x]$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 11.** Let  $f$  be a primitive polynomial in  $R[x]$ . Prove that  $f$  is prime in  $R[x]$  if and only if  $f$  is prime in  $F[x]$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** If  $R$  is a unique factorization domain, then the polynomial ring  $R[x]$  is also a unique factorization domain.

*Proof.* Given  $f \in R[x]$ , we can write  $f$  as  $f = C(f)f_1$  with  $f_1$  primitive in  $R[x]$ . Since  $C(f) \in R$  and  $R$  is a unique factorization domain, if  $C(f)$  is not a unit, we can write  $C(f)$  as a product of finitely many irreducible elements in  $R$ . These elements are also irreducible in  $R[x]$ . Hence it is sufficient to show that every primitive polynomial of positive degree in  $R[x]$  can be written as a product of finitely many irreducible elements in  $R[x]$ . Suppose  $f$  is a primitive polynomial in  $R[x]$ . Since  $F[x]$  is a unique factorization domain (c.f. Example 3.8) which contains  $R[x]$ ,  $f = p_1 \cdots p_n$  with each  $p_i$  irreducible in  $F[x]$ . Writing  $p_i = a_i b_i^{-1} q_i$  with  $a_i, b_i \in R$ ,  $b_i \neq 0$  and  $q_i$  primitive in  $R[x]$ . Clearly each  $q_i$  is irreducible in  $F[x]$  and hence is irreducible in  $R[x]$  by Lemma 3.12. Let  $a = a_1 \cdots a_n$  and  $b = b_1 \cdots b_n$ . Then  $bf = aq_1 \cdots q_n$ . Because  $C(f)$  and  $C(q_1 \cdots q_n)$  are units in  $R$ , it follows that  $a$  and  $b$  are associates in  $R$ . Thus  $a = bu$  with  $u$  a unit in  $R$ . Therefore  $f = uq_1 \cdots q_n$  with  $uq_1$  and  $q_2, \dots, q_n$  irreducible in  $R[x]$ .

To show the uniqueness, as in the proof of Theorem 3.9, we only have to show that every irreducible polynomial in  $R[x]$  is prime. Suppose  $f$  is irreducible in  $R[x]$ . If  $f \in R$ , then by  $R$  is a unique factorization domain,  $f$  is prime in  $R$ . If  $f \mid gh$  for some  $g, h \in R[x]$ , then  $lf = gh$  for some  $l \in R[x]$ . By Lemma 3.10, we have

$$fC(l) \approx C(lf) \approx C(gh) \approx C(g)C(h).$$

This implies that  $f \mid C(g)C(h)$  in  $R$  and hence  $f \mid C(g)$  or  $f \mid C(h)$ . Therefore,  $f \mid g$  or  $f \mid h$  in  $R[x]$ . Therefore,  $f$  is prime in  $R[x]$ . Now suppose that  $f$  is a polynomial of positive degree in  $R[x]$ .  $f$  is irreducible in  $R[x]$  implies that  $f$  is a primitive polynomial in  $R[x]$ . Lemma 3.12 says that  $f$  is irreducible in  $F[x]$  and hence  $f$  is prime in  $F[x]$  because  $F[x]$  is a unique factorization domain. By Exercise 11,  $f$  is prime in  $R[x]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.14.** If  $R$  is a unique factorization domain, then the polynomial ring over  $R$  in  $n$  indeterminates,  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is also a unique factorization domain.

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.13,  $R[x_1]$  is a unique factorization domain. Since  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n] = R[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}][x_n]$ , the proof is now completed by a routine inductive argument.  $\square$